



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/687,139	10/12/2000	John J. Sie	19281-001100US	1027		
20350	7590 11/02/2004		EXAMINER			
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP			BUI, KIEU	BUI, KIEU OANH T		
TWO EMBA EIGHTH FLO	RCADERO CENTER	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			2611			
			DATE MAILED: 11/02/2004	4		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

0

					()				
		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		09/687	7,139	SIE ET AL.					
		Exami	ner	Art Unit					
		KIEU-0	DANH TBUI	2611					
The M Period for Reply	AILING DATE of this commu	nication appears on	the cover sheet with the	correspondence ac	dress				
A SHORTEN THE MAILING - Extensions of tir after SIX (6) MC - If the period for - If NO period for - Failure to reply Any reply receiv	ED STATUTORY PERIOD IS DATE OF THIS COMMUN me may be available under the provision NTHS from the mailing date of this compreply specified above is less than thirty reply is specified above, the maximum swithin the set or extended period for repled by the Office later than three months arm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. Is of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no imunication. (30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply an by will, by statute, cause the	event, however, may a reply be ting statutory minimum of thirty (30) day d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from application to become ABANDONE	nely filed is will be considered time the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S. C. § 133).	ly. communication.				
Status									
1)⊠ Respor	nsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <u>19 July 2004</u>							
2a)∐ This ac	tion is FINAL .	2b) This action is	s non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of C	laims								
4a) Of the first transfer of transfer o	s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the he above claim(s) is/as is/are allowed. s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected. s) is/are objected to. s) are subject to restricts	are withdrawn from							
Application Pap	ers								
9)∐ The spe	cification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.							
10)☐ The dra	wing(s) filed on is/are	e: a) ☐ accepted or	b) objected to by the I	Examiner.					
	nt may not request that any obje								
	ment drawing sheet(s) includin h or declaration is objected t								
Priority under 35	5 U.S.C. § 119								
a)	ledgment is made of a claim b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority Certified copies of the priority Copies of the certified copies pplication from the Internation attached detailed Office action	documents have by documents have by of the priority documents Bureau (PCT R	een received. een received in Applicati ments have been receive tule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage				
Attachment(s)									
	ences Cited (PTO-892) person's Patent Drawing Review (I	OTO 048)	4) Interview Summary						
	closure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTC)-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/687,139 Page 2

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 7/19/04 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-26 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hendricks et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,734,853) in view of Cobbley et al. (U.S. Patent 5,614,940).

Regarding claim 1, Hendricks discloses "a method for distributing programming" (Fig. 1, and col. 6/lines 20-48 for a cable delivering system offers to distribute programming), the method comprising:

"transmitting a first set of program segments according to a schedule of programming", i.e., a first set of program segments transmitting from the headend according to a schedule of programming can be selected by a main menu and then a submenu displaying to a user (see Figs. 22a & 22b, the user can select one program "Discovery channel choice" from a plurality of available programs in Figure 22a, and in Fig. 22b as a program segment of "War birds", not an entire program, can be displaying as a preview to the user with its schedule programming time at 9:30PM, see col. 38/1ine 36 to col. 39/1ine 8 for an option to preview a portion of the program; Fig. 12e and col. 31/lines 30-45 for a preview technique addressed);

"storing a second set of program segments on a server, at least one of the first set of program segments having a counterpart in the second set of program segments", i.e., the corresponding program related to the first program segment (regarding as "a counterpart") which stored on a server will be displaying to the user as the user has an option to order that program or having more information such as advertising or related information about that program segment (col. 39/lines 9-24), and this information is stored in a server (or storage) within the cable headend 208 for local programming and local advertisements (Fig. 3, col. 10/lines 5-15) and then transmitted by the program control information signal to the storage at the set top terminal (col. 14/lines 47-64 for a sample of video clip for advertisement for the program or any other program, menu or product information can be sent and stored in the set top terminal, col. 14/line 65 to col. 15/line 38);

Application/Control Number: 09/687,139

Art Unit: 2611

"maintaining a database that records user authorizations to program segments on the server; detecting a request from a user for control of a particular program segment; and determining whether to grant control to the user", i.e., either the server 208 includes a network controller 214 for monitoring and detecting the user requests as well as keeping track of records in authorization or granting control of program segments on the server or storage of the headend for billing purposes (col. lo/line 23 to col. 11/line 9) or the set top terminal served as the server containing data, detecting, tracking programs that keeps track the user records and reports all of these data to cable headend via an upstream link (col. 17/lines 24-38).

Hendricks does not further mention to provide control to the user in a manner that "to manipulate progression of the particular program segment to the user" as pre-amended; however, this simple technique of further providing a window video/audio player for the user to preview or view a segment or portion of the broadcasting program in a way to manipulate the progression of the particular program, i.e., whether to play, stop, pause or rewind or fast forward, is already taught by Cobbley (see Cobbley, Figs. 1 &2, and col. 10/line 7 to col. 12/line 55 for further details on manipulating program segments from the broadcast source 105, with all the options to the user in controlling the manipulating for play, pause, jump to next program, etc.). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hendricks' system with a known feature as taught by Cobbley in order to provide the user a flexibility or an option to control or manipulate the progression of a particular program segment at the user's convenience at ease for personal viewing enjoyment, and this serves as a motivation for the modification as suggested by Cobbley in the cited paragraphs.

Application/Control Number: 09/687,139

Art Unit: 2611

As for claim 2, in view of claim 1 for the teaching of Cobbley, Hendricks further discloses wherein determining whether to grant control to manipulate progression of the particular program segment to the user comprises: identifying the particular program segment; and determining whether the particular program segment has a counterpart stored on the server", i.e., identifiers are assigned to programs and events for programming control effectively, by using these codes, the network controller easily assigns or determines which particular (second) program segment is related to the (first) request program on the server either at the headend or at the set top terminal (Fig. 4b, col. 14/1ine 65 to col. 16/line 58) using routines (as illustrated in Figs. 11a-11c for requesting and selecting a program segment or an event from the headend to the terminal).

As for claim 3, in view of claim 2 for the teaching of Cobbley, Hendricks further discloses wherein determining whether to grant control to manipulate progression of the particular program segment to the user further comprises "ascertaining whether the user is authorized to access the counterpart to the particular program segment from the server", i.e., the system can determine whether to authorize the user request for accessing the counterpart to the storage (the server either at the headend or at the set top terminal) or the related program information (col. 38/1ine 61 to col. 39/1ine 48; and Fig. 11c, col. 29/lines 24-47 shows an example of a routine to check for authorizing an order from the user for a particular program).

As for claim 4, in view of claim 1, Hendricks further addresses wherein determining whether to grant control to manipulate progression of the particular program segment to the user comprises: "identifying the particular program segment; and ascertaining whether the user is authorized to access the counterpart to the particular program segment from the server", i.e.,

identifiers are assigned to programs and events for programming control effectively, by using these codes, the network controller easily assigns or determines which particular (second) program segment is related to the (first) request program on the server either at the headend or at the set top terminal (Fig. 4b, col. 14/1ine 65 to col. 16/line 58) using routines (as illustrated in Figs. 11a-11c for requesting and selecting a program segment or an event from the headend to the set top terminal).

As for claim 5, in view of claim 1, Hendricks further discloses wherein detecting the request from the user for control to manipulate progression of the particular program segment to of the particular program segment comprises "receiving a wireless program-control request from a remote control", i.e., wireless control request from a remote control for controlling the set top terminal is addressed (Fig. 3, item 900, and col. 12/1ine 53 to col. 13/1ine 12 for a remote control).

As for claim 6, in view of claim 1, Hendricks further discloses comprising granting permanent control to manipulate progression of the particular program segment to the user", i.e., depending on situations, the system can authorize the subscribers to access the particular program permanently such as weekly or monthly basis (col. 39/1ines 25-34).

As for claim 7, in view of claim 6, Hendricks further inherently suggests wherein granting permanent control to manipulate progression of the particular program segment to the user comprises "storing the particular program segment on the server indefinitely", i.e., because the information related to the particular program segment is stored in a server (or storage) within the cable headend 208 for local programming and local advertisements (Fig. 3, col. lo/lines 5-15) and then transmitted by the program control information signal to the storage at the set top

terminal (col. 14/lines 47-64 for a sample of video clip for advertisement for the program or any other program, menu or product information can be sent and stored in the set top terminal, col. 14/line 65 to col. 15/line 38); and as in claim 6, the system can authorize the subscribers to access the particular program permanently such as weekly or monthly basis (col. 39/lines 25-34); it indicates that either the headend or the set top terminal is capable of storing the information

indefinitely for the subscriber to access on a weekly basis or a monthly basis.

As for claim 8, in view of claim 6, Hendricks further inherently discloses wherein granting permanent program control to manipulate progression of the particular program segment to the user comprises "granting permission to the user to copy the particular program segment from the server", i.e., a VCR is connected to the set top terminal and the set top terminal can automatically program or operate the VCR (col. 1 l/lines 2\$-38) and as long as the user is a subscriber (authorized user), the user can access to the particular many times they prefer to (col. 39/lines 25-33); thus, it indicates that the system grants permission to the user to copy over the particular program segment from the server (namely, the set top terminal).

As for claim 9, in view of claim 1, Hendricks further discloses "wherein the particular program segment comprises one of a video program and an audio program", i.e., programs are received from various external sources 212 (Fig. 2) including video programs and audio programs (col. 7/1ine 65 to col. 8/1ine 3) and from local programming/advertisements with "on the fly programming" such as interactive television services, split screen video and different languages for the same video-means a program with video and audio (col. 10/lines 6-57).

Regarding claim 10, Hendricks discloses "a method for distributing video programming" (Fig. 1, and col. 6/lines 20-48 for a cable delivering system offers to distribute programming) the method comprising:

Page 8

"storing a set of video program segments on a server", i.e., video program segments are stored in a server (or storage) within the cable headend 208 as for local programming and local advertisements (Fig. 3, col. 10/lines 5-15) and then transmitted by the program control information signal to the storage at the set top terminal (col. 14/lines 47-64 for a sample of video sent and stored in the set top terminal, col. 14/line 65 to col. 15/line 38);

"preauthorizing a user to have control over a subset of the video program segments to establish a preauthorization scheme", i.e., the system can determine whether to authorize the user request as "preauthorizing" for accessing the counterpart or the related to program information or over a subset of the video program segments (col. 38/1ine 61 to col. 39/1ine 48; and Fig. 11c, col. 29/lines 24-47 shows an example of a routine to check for authorizing an order from the user for a particular program as a subset of the video program segments-regarding here as a portion of preview video as discussed earlier in claim 1);

"detecting a request from the user for control of a particular video program segment; and granting control to the user of the particular video program segment in accordance with the preauthorization scheme", i.e, the set top terminal served as the server containing data, tracking programs that keeps track the user records and reports all of these data to cable headend via an upstream link (col. 17/lines 24-38).

Hendricks does not further mention to provide control to the user in a manner that "to manipulate progression of the particular program segment to the user" as pre-amended; however, this simple technique of further providing a window video/audio player for the user to preview or view a segment or portion of the broadcasting program in a way to manipulate the progression of the particular program, i.e., whether to play, stop, pause or rewind or fast forward, is already taught by Cobbley (see Cobbley, Figs. 1 &2, and col. 10/line 7 to col. 12/line 55 for further details on manipulating program segments from the broadcast source 105, with all the options to the user in controlling the manipulating for play, pause, jump to next program, etc.). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hendricks' system with a known feature as taught by Cobbley in order to provide the user a flexibility or an option to control or manipulate the progression of a particular program segment at the user's convenience at ease for personal viewing enjoyment, and this serves as a motivation for the modification as suggested by Cobbley in the cited paragraphs.

As for claim 11, in view of claim 10, Hendricks further discloses "wherein the subset of the program segments is the set of program segments", i.e., the subset of an order program segment by using a submenu is the set of program segments because they are related information (col. 38/line 52 to col. 39/line 24).

As for claim 12, in view of claim 10 and the teaching of Cobbley, Hendricks further discloses wherein detecting the request from the user for control to manipulate progression of the particular program segment comprises "receiving a wireless program-control request", i.e., wireless program-control request from a remote control for the set top terminal is addressed (Fig. 3, item 900, and col. 12/line 53 to col. 13/line 12 for a remote control).

As for claim 13, in view of claim 10, Hendricks further discloses "wherein the server is local to the user", i.e., the set top terminal serving as a server for storage, data tracking, program and menu distribution is considered to be local to the user or at the user's side (Figs. 3, 5a, 6a-6b, and col. 17/lines 24-38).

As for claim 14, in further view of claim 13, Hendricks further discloses "wherein the server is located on a set-top box", i.e., the set top terminal serving as a server can be used for storage of programs from the headend and other menu, any other program information containing video, graphics, program logic and text (col. 11/line 39 to col. 12/1ine 45 & col. 17/lines 24-38).

Regarding claim 15, Hendricks discloses "a method for distributing programming, the method comprising:

"transmitting a first set of program segments according to a schedule of programming", i.e., see Figs. 1 & 3, and col. 6/lines 20-48 for a cable delivering system offers to distribute programming; and a first set of program segments transmitting from the headend according to a schedule of programming can be selected by a main menu and then a submenu displaying to a user (see Figs. 22a & 22b, the user can select one program "Discovery channel choice" from a plurality of available programs in Figure 22a, and in Fig. 22b as a program segment of & "War birds" not an entire program, can be displaying as a preview to the user with its schedule programming time at 9:30PM, see col. 38/line 36 to col. 39/line 8 for an option to preview a portion of the program; Fig. 12e and col. 31/lines 30-45 for a preview technique addressed);

Application/Control Number: 09/687,139

Art Unit: 2611

"storing a second set of program segments on a server, at least one of the first set of program segments having a counterpart in the second set of program segments", i.e., the corresponding program related to the first program segment (regarding as "a counterpart") which stored on a server will be displaying to the user as the user has an option to order that program or having more information such as advertising or related information about that program segment (col. 39/1ines 9-24), and this information is stored in a server (or storage) within the cable headend 208 for local programming and local advertisements (Fig. 3, col. lo/lines 5-15) and then transmitted by the program control information signal to the storage at the set top terminal (col. 14/lines 47-64 for a sample of video clip for advertisement for the program or any other program, menu or product information can be sent and stored in the set top terminal, col. 14/line 65 to col. 15/line 38);

preauthorizing a user to have control over program segments on the server to establish a preauthorization scheme", i.e., the system can determine whether to authorize the user request as "preauthorizing" for accessing the counterpart or the related program information or over a subset of the video program segments (col. 38/1ine 61 to col. 39/1ine 48; and Fig. 11c, col. 29/lines 24-47 shows an example of a routine to check for authorizing an order from the user for a particular program as a subset of the video program segments-regarding here as a portion of preview video as discussed earlier in claim 1);

"maintaining a database that records user authorizations to program segments on the server; detecting a request from a user for control of a particular program segment; and determining whether to grant control to the user in accordance with the preauthorization scheme", i.e., either the server 208 includes a network controller 214 for monitoring and

detecting the user requests as well as keeping track of records in authorization or granting control of program segments on the server or storage of the headend for billing purposes (col. lo/line 23 to col. 1 l/line 9) or the set top terminal served as the server containing data, detecting, tracking programs that keeps track the user records and reports all of these data to cable headend via an upstream link (col. 17/lines 24-38).

Hendricks does not further mention to provide control to the user in a manner that "to manipulate progression of the particular program segment to the user" as pre-amended; however, this simple technique of further providing a window video/audio player for the user to preview or view a segment or portion of the broadcasting program in a way to manipulate the progression of the particular program, i.e., whether to play, stop, pause or rewind or fast forward, is already taught by Cobbley (see Cobbley, Figs. 1 &2, and col. 10/line 7 to col. 12/line 55 for further details on manipulating program segments from the broadcast source 105, with all the options to the user in controlling the manipulating for play, pause, jump to next program, etc.). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hendricks' system with a known feature as taught by Cobbley in order to provide the user a flexibility or an option to control or manipulate the progression of a particular program segment at the user's convenience at ease for personal viewing enjoyment, and this serves as a motivation for the modification as suggested by Cobbley in the cited paragraphs.

Regarding claim 16, Hendricks discloses "a system for distributing programming" (Fig. 3, and col. 9/1ine 58 to col. lo/line 42 for an overview of the system), the system comprising:

"a transmitter configured to transmit a first set of program segments according to a program schedule", i.e., signal processor 209 within the cable headend serves as a transmitter in transmitting or distributing programs and program segments to the user via link 216 (Fig. 3, and col. 9/1ine 65 to col. lo/line 1) and a first set of program segments transmitting from the headend according to a schedule of programming can be selected by a main menu and then a submenu displaying to a user (see Figs. 22a & 22b, the user can select one program "Discovery channel choice" from a plurality of available programs in Figure 22a, and in Fig. 22b as a program segment of "War birds" not an entire program, can be displaying as a preview to the user with its schedule programming time at 9:30PM, see col. 38/1ine 36 to col. 39/1ine 8 for an option to preview a portion of the program; Fig. 12e and col. 31/lines 30-45 for a preview technique addressed);

"a server configured to store a second set of program segments, at least one of the first set of program segments having a counterpart in the second set of program segments", i.e., the corresponding program related to the first program segment (regarding as "a counterpart") which stored on a server will be displaying to the user as the user has an option to order that program or having more information such ms advertising or related information about that program segment (col. 39/1ines 9-24), and this information is stored in a server (or storage) within the cable headend 208 for local programming and local advertisements (Fig. 3, col. lo/lines 5-15) and then transmitted by the program control information signal to the storage at the set top terminal (col. 14/lines 47-64 for a sample of video clip for advertisement for the program or any other program, menu or product information can be sent and stored in the set top terminal, col. 14/line 65 to col. 15/line 38);

"a database configured to record user authorizations to program segments on the server", i.e., either the headend 208 regarding as a database includes a network controller 214 for monitoring and detecting the user requests as well as keeping track of records in authorization or granting control of program segments on the storage of the headend for billing purposes (col. 10/line 23 to col. 11/line 9) or the set top terminal served as the database containing data, detecting, tracking programs that keeps track the user records and reports all of these data to cable headend via an upstream link (col. 17/lines 24-38 with illustrations of Fig. 4b, Table A, Table B, Table C of column 15-16 as examples for data storing as a database format);

"a detector configured to detect a request from a user for control of a particular program segment", i.e., a remote control interface 626 served as a detector in detecting a request from a user for program control of a particular program segment (Fig. 5b/item 626; and Fig. 3, item 900, and col. 12/1ine 53 to col. 13/line 12 for a remote control); and

"a control system in communication with the transmitter, the server, the database, and the detector, the control system being configured to operate the transmitter, the server, the database, and the detector to provide control of program segments on the server to the user in accordance with a predetermined authorization scheme", i.e., network controller 214 within the headend 208 correlates the signal processor (regarding as the transmitter), the set top terminal (regarding as the server and contains databases) and the remote control interface (regarding as the detector), and it performs these functions in providing control of program segments to the user in a timely fashion manner according to a predetermined authorization scheme, for instance, the system can determine whether to authorize the user request as "preauthorizing" for accessing the counterpart or the related program information or over a subset of the video program segments (col. 38/1ine

61 to col. 39/1ine 48; and Fig. 11c, col. 29/1ines 24-47 shows an example of a routine to check for authorizing an order from the user for a particular program as a subset of the video program segments-regarding here as a portion of preview video as discussed earlier in claim 1).

Hendricks does not further mention to provide control to the user in a manner that "to manipulate progression of the particular program segment to the user" as pre-amended; however, this simple technique of further providing a window video/audio player for the user to preview or view a segment or portion of the broadcasting program in a way to manipulate the progression of the particular program, i.e., whether to play, stop, pause or rewind or fast forward, is already taught by Cobbley (see Cobbley, Figs. 1 &2, and col. 10/line 7 to col. 12/line 55 for further details on manipulating program segments from the broadcast source 105, with all the options to the user in controlling the manipulating for play, pause, jump to next program, etc.). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hendricks' system with a known feature as taught by Cobbley in order to provide the user a flexibility or an option to control or manipulate the progression of a particular program segment at the user's convenience at ease for personal viewing enjoyment, and this serves as a motivation for the modification as suggested by Cobbley in the cited paragraphs.

As for claim 17, in view of claim 16, Hendricks further discloses "wherein the control system is configured to identify the particular program segment and to determine whether the particular program segment has a counterpart stored on the server", i.e., identifiers are assigned to programs and events for programming control effectively, by using these codes, the network controller easily assigns or determines which particular (second) program segment is related to the (first) request program on the server either at the headend or at the set top terminal (Fig. 4b,

col. 14/1ine 65 to col. 16/1ine 58) using routines (as illustrated in Figs. 11a-11c for requesting and selecting a program segment or an event from the headend to the terminal).

As for claim 18, in view of claim 17, Hendricks further discloses "wherein the control system is further configured to ascertain whether the user is authorized to access the counterpart to the particular program segment from the server in accordance with the predetermined authorization scheme", i.e., the system can determine whether to authorize the user request for accessing the counterpart to the storage (the server either at the headend or at the set top terminal) or the related program information (col. 38/1ine 61 to col. 39/line 48; and Fig. 11c, col. 29/1ines 24-47 shows an example of a routine to check for authorizing an order from the user for a particular program).

As for claim 19, in view of claim 16, Hendricks further discloses "wherein the control system is configured to identify the particular program segment and to ascertain whether the user is authorized to access the counterpart to the particular program segment from the server in accordance with the predetermined authorization scheme", i.e., identifiers are assigned to programs and events for programming control effectively, by using these codes, the network controller easily assigns or determines which particular (second) program segment is related to the (first) request program on the server either at the headend or at the set top terminal (Fig. 4b, col. 14/line 65 to col. 16/line 58) using routines (as illustrated in Figs. 11a-11c for requesting and selecting a program segment or an event from the headend to the terminal).

As for claim 20, in view of claim 16, Hendricks further discloses "wherein the particular program segment comprises one of a video program and an audio program", i.e., programs are received from various external sources 212 (Fig. 2) including video programs and audio programs (col. 7/1ine 65 to col. 8/1ine 3) and from local programming/advertisements with "on

Page 17

programs (col. 7/1ine 65 to col. 8/1ine 3) and from local programming/advertisements with "on the fly programming" such as interactive television services, split screen video and different languages for the same video-means a program with video and audio (col. 10/lines 6-57).

Regarding claim 21, Hendricks discloses "a computer-readable storage medium having a

Regarding claim 21, Hendricks discloses "a computer-readable storage medium having a computer-readable program embodied therein for directing operation of a programming distribution system including a transmitter, a server, a database, a detector and a control system configured to provide control of program segments on the server, the computer-readable program including instructions for operating the programming-distribution system in accordance with the following: transmitting a first set of program segments with the transmitter according to a schedule of programming; storing a second set of program segments on the server, at least one of the first set of program segments having a counterpart in the second set of program segments; maintaining on the database a record of user authorizations to program segments on the server; and detecting a request from a user for control of a particular program segment" (see claim 16, with menu sequence software and turbo card software and their software routines for operating the entire system (col. 27/line 8 to col. 33/line 26), the system offers a computer-readable storage medium having a computer-readable program embodied therein for directing operation of a programming distribution system as already disclosed above).

Hendricks does not further mention to provide control to the user in a manner that "to manipulate progression of the particular program segment to the user" as pre-amended; however, this simple technique of further providing a window video/audio player for the user to preview or view a segment or portion of the broadcasting program in a way to manipulate the progression of the particular program, i.e., whether to play, stop, pause or rewind or fast forward, is already taught by Cobbley (see Cobbley, Figs. 1 &2, and col. 10/line 7 to col. 12/line 55 for further details on manipulating program segments from the broadcast source 105, with all the options to the user in controlling the manipulating for play, pause, jump to next program, etc.). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hendricks' system with a known feature as taught by Cobbley in order to provide the user a flexibility or an option to control or manipulate the progression of a particular program segment at the user's convenience at ease for personal viewing enjoyment, and this serves as a motivation for the modification as suggested by Cobbley in the cited paragraphs.

As for claim 22, in view of claim 21, Hendricks further discloses wherein the computer-readable program further includes instructions for operating the programming distribution system in accordance with determining whether to grant control to manipulate progression of the particular program segment to the user", i.e., the system can determine whether to authorize or grant the user request for accessing the system as in Fig. 11c, col. 29/1ines 24-47, it shows an example of a routine to check for authorizing an order from the user for a particular program as a subset of the video program segments.

As for claim 23, in view of claim 22, Hendricks further discloses wherein the instructions for operating the programming-distribution system in accordance with determining whether to grant control to manipulate progression of the particular program segment to the user include instructions for identifying the particular program segment; and instructions for determining whether the particular program segment has a counterpart stored on the server", i.e., identifiers are assigned to programs and events for programming control effectively, by using these codes, the network controller easily assigns or determines which particular (second) program segment is related to the (first) request program on the server either at the headend or at the set top terminal (Fig. 4b, col. 14/1ine 65 to col. 16/1ine 58) using computer routines with instructions (as illustrated in Figs. 11a-11c for requesting and selecting a program segment or an event from the headend to the terminal).

As for claim 24, in view of claim 23, Hendricks discloses wherein the instructions for operating the programming-distribution system in accordance with determining whether to grant control to manipulate progression of the particular program segment to the user further include "instructions for ascertaining whether the user is authorized to access the counterpart to the particular program segment from the server", i.e., the system can determine whether to authorize or grant the user request for accessing the counterpart or the related program information or over a subset of the video program segments (col. 38/line 61 to col. 39/line 48; and Fig. 11c, col. 29/lines 24-47 shows an example of a computer routine with instructions to check for authorizing an order from the user for a particular program as a subset of the video program segments-regarding here as a portion of preview video as discussed earlier in claim 1).

Application/Control Number: 09/687,139 Page 20

Art Unit: 2611

As for claim 25, in view of claim 21, Hendricks further discloses "wherein the particular program segment comprises one of a video program and an audio program", i.e., programs are received from various external sources 212 (Fig. 2) including video programs and audio programs (col. 7/line 65 to col. 8/line 3) and from local programming/advertisements with "on the fly programming" such as interactive television services, split screen video and different languages for the same video-means a program with video and audio (col. lo/lines 6-57).

As for claim 26, in view of claim 22, Hendricks discloses wherein the instructions for operating the programming-distribution system in accordance with determining whether to grant control to manipulate progression of the particular program segment to the user include "instructions for identifying the particular program segment; and instructions for ascertaining whether the user is authorized to access the counterpart to the particular program segment from the server" (see claim 19 above).

Conclusion

5. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306, (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 99, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Krista Kieu-Oanh Bui whose telephone number is (703) 305-0095. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 AM to 6:30 PM, with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Grant, can be reached on (703) 305-4755.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Krista Bui Art Unit 2611 October 22, 2004 KRISTA BUI
PATENT EXAMINER